Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15-Octaazapenta-cyclo[9.5.1.1^{3,9}.0^{6,18}.0^{14,17}]octadecane-4,8,12,16-tetrone monohydrate: a methylene-bridged glycoluril dimer

Pei-Hua Ma,^a Xin Xiao,^a Yun-Qian Zhang,^a Sai-Feng Xue^b and Zhu Tao^b*

^aKey Laboratory of Macrocyclic and Supramolecular Chemistry of Guizhou Province, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, People's Republic of China, and ^bInstitute of Applied Chemistry, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: sci.yqzhang@gzu.edu.cn

Received 23 July 2008; accepted 30 July 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 298 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.033; wR factor = 0.089; data-to-parameter ratio = 10.4.

In the title compound, $C_{10}H_{12}N_8O_4 \cdot H_2O_5$, prepared from the reaction of glycoluril with paraformaldehyde, the organic molecule has mm symmetry. The asymmetric unit comprises one quarter of the molecule and a half-molecule of water. The dimer is formed by bridging two glycoluril molecules with methylene groups at the 1 and 6 positions. In the crystal structure, molecules are linked via $N-H \cdots O$ and $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds, forming a two-dimensional framework.

Related literature

For general background, see: Zhao et al. (2004); Zheng et al. (2005).



Experimental

Crystal data

V = 626.2 (3) Å ³
Z = 2
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$\mu = 0.14 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 298 (2) K
$0.18 \times 0.13 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector	3977 measured reflections
diffractometer	616 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	528 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2005)	$R_{\rm int} = 0.026$
$T_{\min} = 0.975, T_{\max} = 0.986$	

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$	59 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.089$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.11	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.18 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
616 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1-H1\cdotsO1^{i}$ $O1W-H1WA\cdotsO1^{ii}$ $O1W-H1WA\cdotsO1$	0.86	2.01	2.8417 (17)	164
	0.86	2.36	3.0241 (17)	135
	0.86	2.36	3.0241 (17)	135

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) x, $-y + \frac{3}{2}$, z.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2005): cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2005); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

We acknowledge the support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20662003) and the Foundation of the Governor of Guizhou Province, China.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SJ2521).

References

Bruker, (2005). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Zhao, Y. J., Xue, S. F., Zhu, Q. J., Tao, Z., Zhang, J. X., Wei, Z. B., Long, L. S., Hu, M. L., Xiao, H. P. & Day, A. I. (2004). Chin. Sci. Bull. 49, 1111-1116.

Zheng, L. M., Zhu, J. N., Zhang, Y. Q., Tao, Z., Xue, S. F., Zhu, Q. J., Wei, Z. B. & Long, L. S. (2005). Chin. J. Inorg. Chem. 21, 1583-1588.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, o1795 [doi:10.1107/S160053680802429X]

1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15-Octaazapentacyclo[9.5.1.1^{3,9}.0^{6,18}.0^{14,17}]octadecane-4,8,12,16-tetrone monohydrate: a methylene-bridged glycoluril dimer

P.-H. Ma, X. Xiao, Y.-Q. Zhang, S.-F. Xue and Z. Tao

Comment

In recent years, we have used different alkyl substituted glycolurils and glycoluril dimers as building blocks in the synthesis of partially alkyl substituted cucurbit[n]urils In this work, we report the crystal structure of the title compound, a glycoluril dimer, Fig 1.

The molecule comproses two glycoluril units linked by methylene bridges at the 1 and 6 positions. Molecules have mm crystallographic symmetry and the asymmetric unit comprises one quarter of the molecule and a half molecule of water. In the crystal structure, molecules are linked *via* N1—H1···O1ⁱ and O1W—H1WA···O1 hydrogen bonds forming a two-dimensional framework (Table 1 and Fig. 2).

Experimental

A solution of glycoluril (7.0 g, 0.05 mol) in H_2SO_4 (50 ml, 25%) was added to a stirred solution of paraformaldehyde (6.0 g, 0.2 mol) in H_2SO_4 (150 ml) and the mixture was kept at 40°C for 5 h. Glycoluril (14.2 g, 0.1 mol) and H_2SO_4 (100 ml, 25%) were added in small proportions to this reaction mixture and the solution held at 80°C on a water bath for 5 h. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was filtered to remove the insoluble residue and the filtrate was neutralized with aqueous NH₃ to pH 7. HCl (150 ml) was then added, the mixture, stirred for 10 min, then filtered again. The solid product was dissolved in 100 ml HCl, and then set aside for three weeks to form colourless crystals of I.

Refinement

The water H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and refined as riding on the O atom in these positions with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(O)$. All other H atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined as riding, with C—H = 0.97 Å (methylene) and 0.98 Å (methine), N—H = 0.86 Å, and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C,N)$.

Figures



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I) showing the atom-labelling scheme (Symmetry codes: (A) -x + 3/2, y, z, (B) x, -y + 3/2, z, (C) -x + 3/2, -y + 3/2, z,). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Fig. 2. Packing diagram of (I). Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15-Octaazapentacyclo[9.5.1.1^{3,9}.0^{6,18}.0^{14,17}]octadecane- 4,8,12,16-tetrone monohydrate

Crystal data C10H12N8O4·H2O $F_{000} = 340$ $M_r = 326.29$ $D_{\rm x} = 1.730 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo Kα radiation Orthorhombic, Pmmn $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Hall symbol: -P 2ab 2a Cell parameters from 616 reflections a = 10.292 (3) Å $\theta = 2.6 - 25.1^{\circ}$ b = 12.286 (4) Å $\mu = 0.14 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 (2) Kc = 4.9530 (15) Å $V = 626.2 (3) \text{ Å}^3$ Prism, colorless Z = 2 $0.18 \times 0.13 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer	616 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	528 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.026$
T = 298(2) K	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.1^{\circ}$
ϕ and ω scans	$\theta_{\min} = 2.6^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2005)	$h = -11 \rightarrow 12$
$T_{\min} = 0.975, T_{\max} = 0.986$	$k = -14 \rightarrow 14$
3977 measured reflections	$l = -5 \rightarrow 5$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.089$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.049P)^2 + 0.1538P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
<i>S</i> = 1.11	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
616 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.18 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
59 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct	Extinction correction: none

Special details

methods

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$	
O1W	0.2500	0.7500	0.5795 (5)	0.0492 (7)	
H1WA	0.3096	0.7500	0.4574	0.059*	
C1	0.56573 (14)	0.59339 (12)	0.2017 (3)	0.0263 (4)	
C2	0.7500	0.49067 (16)	0.0938 (4)	0.0272 (5)	
H2	0.7500	0.4252	-0.0191	0.033*	
C3	0.7500	0.59750 (16)	-0.0774 (4)	0.0254 (5)	
H3	0.7500	0.5819	-0.2714	0.030*	
C4	0.5795 (2)	0.7500	-0.1105 (4)	0.0265 (5)	
H4A	0.5977	0.7500	-0.3027	0.032*	
H4B	0.4859	0.7500	-0.0883	0.032*	
N1	0.63278 (12)	0.50102 (10)	0.2503 (3)	0.0336 (4)	
H1	0.6077	0.4527	0.3646	0.040*	
N2	0.63117 (12)	0.65109 (9)	0.0058 (2)	0.0290 (4)	
01	0.46406 (10)	0.62196 (9)	0.3073 (2)	0.0341 (3)	
Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$					
l	U^{11} U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

 U^{23}

supplementary materials

01W C1 C2 C3 C4 N1 N2 O1	0.0359 (14) 0.0257 (8) 0.0257 (11) 0.0239 (11) 0.0243 (11) 0.0328 (8) 0.0245 (7) 0.0291 (6)	0.0614 (16) 0.0270 (8) 0.0248 (10) 0.0281 (10) 0.0290 (10) 0.0307 (7) 0.0306 (7) 0.0352 (6)	0.0504 (1 0.0263 (9 0.0312 (1 0.0241 (1 0.0262 (1 0.0374 (8 0.0319 (7 0.0380 (7	 6) 2) 1) 1) 3) 7) 7) 	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000 \\ -0.0046 \ (6) \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.0027 \ (6) \\ 0.0024 \ (5) \\ 0.0024 \ (5) \end{array}$	0.000 -0.0020 (6) 0.000 -0.0049 (8) 0.0088 (6) 0.0038 (6) 0.0081 (5)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000 \\ -0.0024 \ (6) \\ -0.0036 \ (9) \\ -0.0033 \ (9) \\ 0.000 \\ 0.0078 \ (5) \\ 0.0038 \ (5) \\ 0.0019 \ (5) \end{array}$
Geometric param	neters (Å, °)						
O1W—H1WA		0.8616		C3—N2 ⁱ			1.4487 (16)
C1—01		1.2214 (17)		C3—N2			1.4487 (16)
C1—N1		1.350 (2)		С3—Н3		(0.9800
C1—N2		1.3774 (19)		C4—N2 ⁱ	i		1.4461 (16)
C2—N1 ⁱ		1.4395 (17)		C4—N2			1.4461 (16)
C2—N1		1.4395 (17)		C4—H4	A	(0.9700
C2—C3		1.563 (3)		C4—H41	В		0.9700
C2—H2		0.9800		N1—H1			0.8600
01—C1—N1		127.08 (14)		C2—C3-	—Н3		111.6
01—C1—N2		124.95 (14)		N2 ⁱⁱ —C4	I—N2		114.35 (17)
N1—C1—N2		107.97 (13)		N2 ⁱⁱ —C4	4—H4A		108.7
N1 ⁱ —C2—N1		113.86 (18)		N2-C4-	—H4A		108.7
N1 ⁱ —C2—C3		102.59 (11)		N2 ⁱⁱ —C4	1—H4B		108.7
N1—C2—C3		102.59 (11)		N2-C4-	—H4B		108.7
N1 ⁱ —C2—H2		112.3		Н4А—С	4—H4B		107.6
N1—C2—H2		112.3		C1—N1-	C2		113.98 (14)
С3—С2—Н2		112.3		C1—N1-	—H1		123.0
N2 ⁱ —C3—N2		115.16 (17)		C2—N1-	—H1		123.0
N2 ⁱ —C3—C2		103.14 (11)		C1—N2-	C4		122.23 (14)
N2—C3—C2		103.14 (11)		C1—N2-	—C3		112.29 (13)
N2 ⁱ —C3—H3		111.6		C4—N2-	—C3		125.37 (15)
N2—C3—H3		111.6					
N1 ⁱ —C2—C3—N	2 ⁱ	0.93 (16)		N1-C1-	—N2—C4		174.54 (13)
N1—C2—C3—N2	2 ⁱ	119.26 (13)		O1—C1-	—N2—C3		179.04 (14)
N1 ⁱ —C2—C3—N	2	-119.26 (13)		N1-C1-	—N2—C3		-1.78 (18)
N1—C2—C3—N2	2	-0.93 (16)		N2 ⁱⁱ —C4	I—N2—C1	9	98.33 (19)
01—C1—N1—C2	2	-179.74 (14)		N2 ⁱⁱ —C4	I—N2—C3		-85.8 (2)
N2-C1-N1-C2	2	1.10 (18)		N2 ⁱ —C3	—N2—C1		-109.90 (16)
N1 ⁱ —C2—N1—C	1	110.00 (16)		С2—С3-	—N2—C1		1.67 (17)
C3-C2-N1-C1	l	-0.06 (18)		N2 ⁱ —C3	—N2—C4	,	73.9 (2)
01-C1-N2-C4	4	-4.6 (2)		C2—C3-			-174.51 (14)
Symmetry codes: ((i) -x + 3/2, y, z; (ii) x	z, -y+3/2, z.					

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D\!\!-\!\!\mathrm{H}\!\cdots\!\!A$		
N1—H1···O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.86	2.01	2.8417 (17)	164		
O1W—H1WA···O1 ⁱⁱ	0.86	2.36	3.0241 (17)	135		
O1W—H1WA…O1	0.86	2.36	3.0241 (17)	135		
Symmetry codes: (iii) $-x+1$, $-y+1$, $-z+1$; (ii) x , $-y+3/2$, z .						





Fig. 2